

# dlallathlub

# STATE OF TEST AUTOMATION 2020-2021 EDITION By Kobiton



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

All Respondents	5
Aggregate data from all survey respondents	
Company Size	19
50-100 Employees	19
101-500 Employees	33
More Than 500 Employees	
QA Team Size	61
Teams with 1 Tester	61
Teams with 2-10 Testers	75
Teams with 11-25 Testers	89
Teams with 26 or More Testers	103
Company Revenue	117
Less than \$1 Million	117
\$1-10 Million	131
\$10-100 Million	145
\$101 Million - \$1 Billion	159
More than \$1 Billion	173
Automation Budget	187
Automation is <10% of QA Budget	187
Automation is 10-29% of QA Budget	201
Automation is 30-49% of QA Budget	215
Automation is 50-75% of QA Budget	229
Automation is >75% of QA Budget	243
Release Frequency	257
Daily Releases	257
Weekly Releases	
Monthly Releases	285
Quarterly Releases	299
Less often than Quarterly Releases	313

# allallathlath



# ALL RESPONDENTS AGGREGATE DATA

## **All Respondents**

To assist you in finding the results that matter most to you, we've broken down this whitepaper into fairly granular categories so you can jump straight to the section applicable to you (eg. by company size).

In this section we explore the results in the aggregate.

We were pleasantly surprised at the overall mobile test automation maturity levels indicated by respondents. With 42% already using automation and seeing tangible results, this maturity appears to be driven by a need to constantly innovate and release. 34.8% of respondents release apps *weekly*, and 16.5% release *daily*. While 33.9% can only support *monthly* releases, their goal is to reach weekly or daily frequency. Unfortunately, if you're still doing quarterly releases, you are far behind your peers.

Regardless of company size, all organizations agree that the biggest struggle to start test automation is evaluating and choosing the right tools. With new frameworks and products popping up overnight, organizations are in a constant state of analysis-paralysis. The second biggest automation pain is training/acquiring skilled automation engineers, highlighting the inherent complexity in developing test scripts.

Speed of Delivery is cited as the primary success metric of test automation, indicating a widespread need for agility and increased release frequency.

#### Automation does not come cheap and presents a paradox:

Organizations want to release on a weekly or daily basis, yet it takes 1–3 days to initially code test cases, and then anywhere from 1 day to 2 weeks to update automation scripts with each release. This makes daily or weekly releases incredibly challenging. Despite this complexity, the ROI behind automation is compelling. Almost 40% of respondents spend between 30–49% of their entire QA budget on automation, and surprisingly, almost 20% spend 50–70% of their budget on automation. **The message is clear:** Automation isn't cheap or easy, but it is necessary for innovation and a modern release frequency. If you're spending less than 10% of your budget on test automation, you're significantly lagging behind your peers.

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to persue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



# **Mobile Strategies**



### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 37.7% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.

# Industry/App Categories



### SUMMARY

At 51%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.

How many testers are on your team?



#### SUMMARY

Most of the companies surveyed have test teams of 2-25 people. Only 1.7% of respondents had 1 tester, while 16.5% had teams of more than 26 people.

# Annual Company Revenue





#### SUMMARY

37.9% of companies surveyed had an annual revenue of \$10-100M. Just 4.8% of respondents indicated an annual revenue of less than \$1M.



# How often do you release app updates?



### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

# What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to persue test automation.



# TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

# Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

42.5% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

# PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

# What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

# Test automation framework usage





### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.

# What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

96.3% of respondents indicated that they are performing some test automation. 32.8% of respondents specified that 25-49% of their test cases are automated.







Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with a noteworthy 19.4% seeking to automate more than 75% of their test cases. Comparing this graph with the one above shows a clear trend toward desired increases in test automation.



### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

# What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

# Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 28.8% indicating its use. At 19.7% Jenkins and CircleCI tied for second most frequently used.

GitLab



# Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring delivery speed.

# If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

# On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

# Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 45% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.







#### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 40.7% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 3-5 days with every release.

### **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype

# What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents. The second largest gripe with impleneting a test automation strategy is code complexity for test scripts.



# Which of these best describes your occupation?

#### SUMMARY

The overwhelming majority of respondents indicated that their role in their organization is as a software testing professional.

# Company Size



#### SUMMARY

45.6% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for had more than 500 employees.





# COMPANY SIZE 50-100 EMPLOYEES

# **Company Size**

There are a few commonalities in the way small, medium and large companies approach test automation, all of which have revealed themselves to be basic pillars of success in Quality Assurance. For example, all 3 categories state that improving overall app quality is the primary driver behind pursuing test automation initiatives. They also all mention increasing time-to-market as the secondary goal.

However, their approach to achieving these broad objectives differs significantly based on the number of employees, *and presumably*, access to resources and top talent.

The smaller a company is, the more likely it is to self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*) vs Mobile Strategic (*app is important, but non-essential*). This indicates a trend of legacy enterprises being slow to declare mobile as the primary channel. Additionally, because smaller companies are more likely to be Mobile Critical, they're also more likely to follow agile best practices in mobile, with 61% of small companies releasing daily or weekly, compared to only 44% of large enterprises.

Ironically, companies with more than 500 employees are 2.5x more likely to spend over 75% of their entire QA budget on test automation. Considering that the most common success criteria for test automation in large enterprises is *speed of delivery*, their willingness to invest heavily in people and tools is not surprising. In contrast, smaller companies place greater emphasis on the *number of human hours saved* with test automation, perhaps due to a need for stretching finite resources.

Mid-size companies are 2x more likely to automate over 75% of their test cases than small companies, and 33% more likely than large enterprises. Perhaps this is because they've found the sweet spot of being both Mobile Critical and large enough to leverage resources while maintaining a scrappy mentality.

The biggest negative surprises for test automation among small and midsize companies are all related to coding complexity, likely due to their limited access to top talent. In contrast, large enterprises with virtually unlimited capital to deploy on people and tools worry most about maintaining stable test environments where data is predictable and reliable.

In the end, it appears that more resources will always win as long as the strategy is sound and effective. Viewing the responses from these 3 categories in linear fashion provides a realistic roadmap of the test automation journey through the lens of a company's maturation.

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

# **Mobile Strategies**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to persue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 44.2% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.



#### **SUMMARY**

34.6% of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.





#### **SUMMARY**

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

# What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



# TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

# New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

### New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we 420498 the hope to start within the next 6 months

# Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen within 6 months

488.19

# Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



### SUMMARY

42.3% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

# PAIN POINTS

# Training/Hiring: Training/

acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is setting an approach.

# Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.





#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

# Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?







#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

# Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### **SUMMARY**

There's plenty of room for automation. 46.2% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.







#### **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to a continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype



#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated their largest negative surprise in implementing a test automation strategy has been the need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices.





# COMPANY SIZE 101-500 EMPLOYEES

## Company Size

There are a few commonalities in the way small, medium and large companies approach test automation, all of which have revealed themselves to be basic pillars of success in Quality Assurance. For example, all 3 categories state that improving overall app quality is the primary driver behind pursuing test automation initiatives. They also all mention increasing time-to-market as the secondary goal.

However, their approach to achieving these broad objectives differs significantly based on the number of employees, *and presumably*, access to resources and top talent.

The smaller a company is, the more likely it is to self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*) vs Mobile Strategic (*app is important, but non-essential*). This indicates a trend of legacy enterprises being slow to declare mobile as the primary channel. Additionally, because smaller companies are more likely to be Mobile Critical, they're also more likely to follow agile best practices in mobile, with 61% of small companies releasing daily or weekly, compared to only 44% of large enterprises.

Ironically, companies with more than 500 employees are 2.5x more likely to spend over 75% of their entire QA budget on test automation. Considering that the most common success criteria for test automation in large enterprises is *speed of delivery*, their willingness to invest heavily in people and tools is not surprising. In contrast, smaller companies place greater emphasis on the *number of human hours saved* with test automation, perhaps due to a need for stretching finite resources.

Mid-size companies are 2x more likely to automate over 75% of their test cases than small companies, and 33% more likely than large enterprises. Perhaps this is because they've found the sweet spot of being both Mobile Critical and large enough to leverage resources while maintaining a scrappy mentality.

The biggest negative surprises for test automation among small and midsize companies are all related to coding complexity, likely due to their limited access to top talent. In contrast, large enterprises with virtually unlimited capital to deploy on people and tools worry most about maintaining stable test environments where data is predictable and reliable.

In the end, it appears that more resources will always win as long as the strategy is sound and effective. Viewing the responses from these 3 categories in linear fashion provides a realistic roadmap of the test automation journey through the lens of a company's maturation.

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to persue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



# **Mobile Strategies**



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 39.6% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.

# Industry/App Categories



#### SUMMARY

At 47.5%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.

How many testers are on your team?



#### SUMMARY

Most of the companies surveyed have test teams of 2-25 people. Only 0.7% of respondents had 1 tester, while 7.9% had teams of more than 26 people.

# Annual Company Revenue





#### SUMMARY

49.6% of companies surveyed had an annual revenue of \$10-100M. Just 1.4% of respondents indicated an annual revenue of more than \$1B.


## How often do you release app updates?



### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to persue test automation.



## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

43.9% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

## What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



## SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.

## What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

96.3% of respondents indicated that they are performing some test automation. 33.8% of respondents specified that 25-49% of their test cases are automated.





#### SUMMARY

Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with a noteworthy 20.9% seeking to automate more than 75% of their test cases.



## **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

## What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix of new and existing app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 33.1% indicating its use.

GitLab



## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring the quantity of bugs found.

## If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 46.8% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



## SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 41% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 3-5 days with every release.

00

### **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated that the largest gripe with impleneting a rest automation strategy is code complexity for test scripts.

45



# COMPANY SIZE MORE THAN 500 EMPLOYEES

## **Company Size**

There are a few commonalities in the way small, medium and large companies approach test automation, all of which have revealed themselves to be basic pillars of success in Quality Assurance. For example, all 3 categories state that improving overall app quality is the primary driver behind pursuing test automation initiatives. They also all mention increasing time-to-market as the secondary goal.

However, their approach to achieving these broad objectives differs significantly based on the number of employees, *and presumably*, access to resources and top talent.

The smaller a company is, the more likely it is to self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*) vs Mobile Strategic (*app is important, but non-essential*). This indicates a trend of legacy enterprises being slow to declare mobile as the primary channel. Additionally, because smaller companies are more likely to be Mobile Critical, they're also more likely to follow agile best practices in mobile, with 61% of small companies releasing daily or weekly, compared to only 44% of large enterprises.

Ironically, companies with more than 500 employees are 2.5x more likely to spend over 75% of their entire QA budget on test automation. Considering that the most common success criteria for test automation in large enterprises is *speed of delivery*, their willingness to invest heavily in people and tools is not surprising. In contrast, smaller companies place greater emphasis on the *number of human hours saved* with test automation, perhaps due to a need for stretching finite resources.

Mid-size companies are 2x more likely to automate over 75% of their test cases than small companies, and 33% more likely than large enterprises. Perhaps this is because they've found the sweet spot of being both Mobile Critical and large enough to leverage resources while maintaining a scrappy mentality.

The biggest negative surprises for test automation among small and midsize companies are all related to coding complexity, likely due to their limited access to top talent. In contrast, large enterprises with virtually unlimited capital to deploy on people and tools worry most about maintaining stable test environments where data is predictable and reliable.

In the end, it appears that more resources will always win as long as the strategy is sound and effective. Viewing the responses from these 3 categories in linear fashion provides a realistic roadmap of the test automation journey through the lens of a company's maturation.

532.10

488.19

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to persue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 33.1% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.



### **SUMMARY**

At 57.5%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.





#### **SUMMARY**

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

## New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

## New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

## Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we 420498 thope to start within the next 6 months

## Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen within 6 months

488.19

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



## SUMMARY

41.3% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### **SUMMARY**

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





#### **SUMMARY**

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.





#### What are you using test automation primarily for? **PRIMARY USES** Mostly Regression: Mostly 11.9% **Regression Only** regression testing but some new feature testing too 12.5% New Feature Only Mostly New: Mostly new feature Mostly Regression 21.3% testing but some regression testing too Mostly New 18.1% Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature Equal Mix 36.3% testing

#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix of new and existing app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?





### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 25.6% indicating its use. At 24.4% Jenkins and CircleCI tied for second most frequently used.





#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### **SUMMARY**

There's plenty of room for automation. 43.1% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.





#### **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to a continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents. The second largest gripe with impleneting a test automation strategy is code complexity for test scripts.





# QA TEAM SIZE TEAMS WITH ONE TESTER

## QA Team Size

Because of a direct correlation between company revenue and QA team size, viewing responses based on those two metrics reveals many similarities. However, there are some interesting observations.

Smaller and mid-sized teams (2-25 testers) are far more agile with their app release schedules in general. 38.3% of teams with 11-25 testers release weekly, followed closely by 35.6% for teams of 2-10. Compared to large teams (26+) where only 24.1% release weekly, it reinforces the notion that smaller teams must be scrappier than their larger competitors to survive. However, it should be noted that the very largest teams with the most resources lead the pack when it comes to agility, with 17% releasing on a daily basis.

Success criteria is another point of differentiation between the small/medium teams and the large teams. Small/Medium groups tend to measure automation success based on speed of delivery and number of bugs found, whereas large teams are overwhelmingly concerned with the number of human hours saved by using automation. This subtle difference suggests a narrative that automation is viewed by larger organizations as more of a cost-cutting strategy than an improvement to operational agility.

Another outlier is the biggest negative surprise encountered by organizations when implementing mobile test automation. 28% of small teams struggle with maintaining stable test environments and predictable data, followed by coding complexity of developing automation scripts (24.7%). In contrast, medium/large segments struggle with the need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices. This is likely due to organizational maturity within companies that have realized the need to test on a large number of devices for full market coverage.

QA Team Size: Teams With One Tester

## **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

## **Mobile Strategies**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to persue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their strategic business model.



## Industry/App Categories



### **SUMMARY**

At 50%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies.





QA Team Size: Teams With One Tester



#### **SUMMARY**

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

## New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

## New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

## Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

## Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen 32. Within 6 months

488.19

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



## SUMMARY

Automation is a key part of respondent QA process and also something that is expected to be implemented within 6 months.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 29% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

## Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.





#### What are you using test automation primarily for? **PRIMARY USES** Mostly Regression: Mostly 16.7% **Regression Only** regression testing but some new feature testing too 16.7% New Feature Only Mostly New: Mostly new feature Mostly Regression 33.3% testing but some regression testing too Mostly New Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature Equal Mix 33.3% testing

#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features just as much as regression testing.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



## SUMMARY

Jenkins was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 50% indicating its use. At 33.3% Gitlab is the second most frequently used.









#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 1-4 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



### SUMMARY

# There's plenty of room for automation. 33.3% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 & 250–1000 manual test cases with each app release.




## **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype What was the biggest negative surprise you

plementation:

Code Complexity

Repair/Release

Stability

33.3%

#### **SUMMARY**

Hype Vs. Reality

Code Complexity, Repair/Release and Stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents.





# QA TEAM SIZE TEAMS WITH 2-10 TESTERS

## QA Team Size

Because of a direct correlation between company revenue and QA team size, viewing responses based on those two metrics reveals many similarities. However, there are some interesting observations.

Smaller and mid-sized teams (2-25 testers) are far more agile with their app release schedules in general. 38.3% of teams with 11-25 testers release weekly, followed closely by 35.6% for teams of 2-10. Compared to large teams (26+) where only 24.1% release weekly, it reinforces the notion that smaller teams must be scrappier than their larger competitors to survive. However, it should be noted that the very largest teams with the most resources lead the pack when it comes to agility, with 17% releasing on a daily basis.

Success criteria is another point of differentiation between the small/medium teams and the large teams. Small/Medium groups tend to measure automation success based on speed of delivery and number of bugs found, whereas large teams are overwhelmingly concerned with the number of human hours saved by using automation. This subtle difference suggests a narrative that automation is viewed by larger organizations as more of a cost-cutting strategy than an improvement to operational agility.

Another outlier is the biggest negative surprise encountered by organizations when implementing mobile test automation. 28% of small teams struggle with maintaining stable test environments and predictable data, followed by coding complexity of developing automation scripts (24.7%). In contrast, medium/large segments struggle with the need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices. This is likely due to organizational maturity within companies that have realized the need to test on a large number of devices for full market coverage.

## **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to persue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



## **Mobile Strategies**



### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 63.7% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app, and 28.1% are looking into it.

## Industry/App Categories



#### SUMMARY

At 46.6%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.



## Annual Company Revenue



## SUMMARY

41.8% of companies surveyed had an annual revenue of \$10-100M. Just 6.8% of respondents indicated an annual revenue of less than \$1M.



## How often do you release app updates?



### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to persue test automation.



0001 0010



## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

41.8% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.







#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.

## What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

64.4% of respondents specified that 10-49% of their test cases are automated.

## What percentage of test cases would you like to have automated?





Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with 51.4% seeking to automate 50%-75%+ their test cases. Comparing this graph with the one above shows a clear trend toward desired increases in test automation.



### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

## What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 28.8% indicating its use. At 19.7% Jenkins and CircleCI tied for second most frequently used.

GitLab



## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring delivery speed.

## If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 42.5% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 42.5% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 3-5 days with every release.

## Company Size



### SUMMARY

44.5% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for had 101-500 employees.



## NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype

# What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents. The second largest gripe with impleneting a test automation strategy is code complexity for test scripts.





# **QA TEAM SIZE** TEAMS WITH 11-25 TESTERS

## QA Team Size

Because of a direct correlation between company revenue and QA team size, viewing responses based on those two metrics reveals many similarities. However, there are some interesting observations.

Smaller and mid-sized teams (2-25 testers) are far more agile with their app release schedules in general. 38.3% of teams with 11-25 testers release weekly, followed closely by 35.6% for teams of 2-10. Compared to large teams (26+) where only 24.1% release weekly, it reinforces the notion that smaller teams must be scrappier than their larger competitors to survive. However, it should be noted that the very largest teams with the most resources lead the pack when it comes to agility, with 17% releasing on a daily basis.

Success criteria is another point of differentiation between the small/medium teams and the large teams. Small/Medium groups tend to measure automation success based on speed of delivery and number of bugs found, whereas large teams are overwhelmingly concerned with the number of human hours saved by using automation. This subtle difference suggests a narrative that automation is viewed by larger organizations as more of a cost-cutting strategy than an improvement to operational agility.

Another outlier is the biggest negative surprise encountered by organizations when implementing mobile test automation. 28% of small teams struggle with maintaining stable test environments and predictable data, followed by coding complexity of developing automation scripts (24.7%). In contrast, medium/large segments struggle with the need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices. This is likely due to organizational maturity within companies that have realized the need to test on a large number of devices for full market coverage.

QA Team Size: Teams With 11-25 Testers

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to persue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 74.5% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.



#### **SUMMARY**

At 51.1%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.







#### **SUMMARY**

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



## **TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY**

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

## New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

## New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

## Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

## Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen 32. Within 6 months

488.19

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



### SUMMARY

44% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 30% and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

## Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





#### **SUMMARY**

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.







#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?





#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 28.8% indicating its use. At 19.7% Jenkins and CircleCI tied for second most frequently used.







#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

# There's plenty of room for automation. 49.6% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.







## **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype



#### **SUMMARY**

The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices is what most respondents encounter in their test automation. The second largest gripe with impleneting a test automation strategy is continually fixing test scripts with every release.





## QA TEAM SIZE TEAMS WITH 26 OR MORE TESTERS

## QA Team Size

Because of a direct correlation between company revenue and QA team size, viewing responses based on those two metrics reveals many similarities. However, there are some interesting observations.

Smaller and mid-sized teams (2-25 testers) are far more agile with their app release schedules in general. 38.3% of teams with 11-25 testers release weekly, followed closely by 35.6% for teams of 2-10. Compared to large teams (26+) where only 24.1% release weekly, it reinforces the notion that smaller teams must be scrappier than their larger competitors to survive. However, it should be noted that the very largest teams with the most resources lead the pack when it comes to agility, with 17% releasing on a daily basis.

Success criteria is another point of differentiation between the small/medium teams and the large teams. Small/Medium groups tend to measure automation success based on speed of delivery and number of bugs found, whereas large teams are overwhelmingly concerned with the number of human hours saved by using automation. This subtle difference suggests a narrative that automation is viewed by larger organizations as more of a cost-cutting strategy than an improvement to operational agility.

Another outlier is the biggest negative surprise encountered by organizations when implementing mobile test automation. 28% of small teams struggle with maintaining stable test environments and predictable data, followed by coding complexity of developing automation scripts (24.7%). In contrast, medium/large segments struggle with the need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices. This is likely due to organizational maturity within companies that have realized the need to test on a large number of devices for full market coverage.

## **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to persue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



## **Mobile Strategies**



### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 70.7% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.

## Industry/App Categories



#### SUMMARY

At 56.9%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.



## Annual Company Revenue



### SUMMARY

27.6% of companies surveyed had an annual revenue of \$10-100M. Just 5.2% of respondents indicated an annual revenue of less than \$1M.



## How often do you release app updates?



### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to persue test automation.



0001 0010



## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

41.4% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10% and 29% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.
## PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

## What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.

## What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

98.3% of respondents indicated that they are performing some test automation. 58.6% of respondents specified that 10-49% of their test cases are automated.







#### SUMMARY

Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with a noteworthy 32.8% seeking to automate 25%-49% of their test cases. Comparing this graph with the one above shows a clear trend toward desired increases in test automation.

### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

## What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 31% indicating its use. At 22.4% Bamboo is the second most frequently used.

GitLab



## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring the amount of human hours saved.

## If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 41.4% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 34.5% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 6-10 days with every release.

## Company Size



### SUMMARY

74.1% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for had more than 500 employees.



## NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype





#### SUMMARY

The need to continuallty fix test scripts to work across more devices is what respondents encounter the most. The second largest gripe with impleneting a test automation strategy is code complexity for test scripts.





# **COMPANY REVENUE**

LESS THAN \$1 MILLION

## **Company Revenue**

Responses reveal that company size, *as measured by annual revenue*, has a major impact on resource-driven initiatives such as QA headcount. However, some interesting differences emerge in other areas.

Companies under \$1B in revenue are far more agile in their app releases and are generally more inclined to release weekly, despite having smaller QA teams. Ironically, the \$1B+ organizations are overwhelmingly skewed toward monthly releases (43%) in lieu of weekly releases (10%) and are up to six times more likely to only support quarterly releases than their smaller revenue counterparts. This correlates with the majority of \$1B+ organizations defining themselves as Mobile Strategic (*app is nonessential to company survival*), in contrast to the majority of sub \$1B companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*). It is clear that Mobile Strategic organizations, most notably in legacy industries, are not yet feeling the pain of disruption and the urgency to relentlessly pursue mobile.

All companies with significant revenue (*greater than \$1M*) have embraced test automation as a key part of their testing and delivery process. 42% of all respondents claim to have mature automation capabilities; compared to 23% whose automation initiatives are nascent but delivering promising results. 14% hope to launch their automation efforts within the next six months, and only 8% have no plans for automation.

Companies of all sizes list the biggest struggle in automation as evaluating and selecting the right vendor, framework or technology. Interestingly, the second biggest pain point varies according to company size. Sub \$100M companies say their second-biggest pain is trying to decide what to automate (*the approach*), while \$100M+ companies point to acquiring/training skilled automation engineers as the second-highest pain. This contrast could be indicative of a greater trend where larger companies are not just looking for more people, but also want talent that can quickly integrate into their "coding" based approach to test automation; whereas many smaller companies are fine with utilizing scriptless automation technologies until their people develop coding capabilities.

All companies with revenues north of \$10M automate 25-50% of their test cases. The largest \$1B+ companies state that they'd like to achieve more than 75% automation, a goal far more lofty than their smaller counterparts. Ironically, these are the same companies that only release monthly or quarterly today.

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an analysis initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 41.2% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.



### SUMMARY

At 35.3%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.





#### **SUMMARY**

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a quarterly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



## **TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY**

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

## New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

### New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we 420498 a hope to start within the next 6 months

## Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen within 6 months

488.19

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



### SUMMARY

35.3% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools and reporting & metrics.

## Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.



#### What are you using test automation primarily for? **PRIMARY USES** Mostly Regression: Mostly 17.6% **Regression Only** regression testing but some new feature testing too 35.3% New Feature Only Mostly New: Mostly new feature Mostly Regression 5.9% testing but some regression testing too Mostly New 29.4% Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature 11.8% Equal Mix testing

#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of new app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Jenkins was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 35.3% indicating its use. At 23.5% Bamboo is the second most frequently used.







#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



### SUMMARY

41.2% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 249-1000 manual test cases with each app release.





## **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype



#### **SUMMARY**

The biggest negative surprise encountered in test automation is a three way tie with code complexity, release fixes, and products not living up the the HYPE.





## COMPANY REVENUE \$1-10 MILLION

### **Company Revenue**

Responses reveal that company size, *as measured by annual revenue,* has a major impact on resource-driven initiatives such as QA headcount. However, some interesting differences emerge in other areas.

Companies under \$1B in revenue are far more agile in their app releases and are generally more inclined to release weekly, despite having smaller QA teams. Ironically, the \$1B+ organizations are overwhelmingly skewed toward monthly releases (43%) in lieu of weekly releases (10%) and are up to six times more likely to only support quarterly releases than their smaller revenue counterparts. This correlates with the majority of \$1B+ organizations defining themselves as Mobile Strategic (*app is nonessential to company survival*), in contrast to the majority of sub \$1B companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*). It is clear that Mobile Strategic organizations, most notably in legacy industries, are not yet feeling the pain of disruption and the urgency to relentlessly pursue mobile.

All companies with significant revenue (*greater than \$1M*) have embraced test automation as a key part of their testing and delivery process. 42% of all respondents claim to have mature automation capabilities; compared to 23% whose automation initiatives are nascent but delivering promising results. 14% hope to launch their automation efforts within the next six months, and only 8% have no plans for automation.

Companies of all sizes list the biggest struggle in automation as evaluating and selecting the right vendor, framework or technology. Interestingly, the second biggest pain point varies according to company size. Sub \$100M companies say their second-biggest pain is trying to decide what to automate (*the approach*), while \$100M+ companies point to acquiring/training skilled automation engineers as the second-highest pain. This contrast could be indicative of a greater trend where larger companies are not just looking for more people, but also want talent that can quickly integrate into their "coding" based approach to test automation; whereas many smaller companies are fine with utilizing scriptless automation technologies until their people develop coding capabilities.

All companies with revenues north of \$10M automate 25-50% of their test cases. The largest \$1B+ companies state that they'd like to achieve more than 75% automation, a goal far more lofty than their smaller counterparts. Ironically, these are the same companies that only release monthly or quarterly today.

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



## **Mobile Strategies**



### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that they are looking into mobile strategies. 38.0% of respondents said that their business was seeking to initiate mobile app development.

## Industry/App Categories



#### SUMMARY

At 59.2%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.

## How many testers are on your team?



### SUMMARY

Most of the companies surveyed have test teams of 2-10people. Only 1.4% of respondents had 1 tester, while 16.9% had teams of more than 26 people.





## How often do you release app updates?



### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.



## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

40.8% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 29% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

## What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.

## What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

97.2% of respondents indicated that they are performing some test automation. 43.7% of respondents specified that 10-24% of their test cases are automated.

## What percentage of test cases would you like to have automated?





Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with a noteworthy 35.2% seeking to automate 30-49% of their test cases. Comparing this graph with the one above shows a clear trend toward desired increases in test automation.



### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

## What are you using test automation primarily for?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.



## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Jenkins was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 28.2% indicating its use. At 21.1% Gitlab is the second most frequently used.



## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring number of bugs found.

## If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 39.4% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 33.8% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 3-5 days with every release.



## Company Size



### SUMMARY

40.8% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for had 100-500 employees.



## NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype

# What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Code complexity is the main issue encountered in test automation implementation. Repairing test scripts to work across more devices and stability are tied for the second largest problem.




## COMPANY REVENUE \$10-100 MILLION

#### **Company Revenue**

Responses reveal that company size, *as measured by annual revenue*, has a major impact on resource-driven initiatives such as QA headcount. However, some interesting differences emerge in other areas.

Companies under \$1B in revenue are far more agile in their app releases and are generally more inclined to release weekly, despite having smaller QA teams. Ironically, the \$1B+ organizations are overwhelmingly skewed toward monthly releases (43%) in lieu of weekly releases (10%) and are up to six times more likely to only support quarterly releases than their smaller revenue counterparts. This correlates with the majority of \$1B+ organizations defining themselves as Mobile Strategic (*app is nonessential to company survival*), in contrast to the majority of sub \$1B companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*). It is clear that Mobile Strategic organizations, most notably in legacy industries, are not yet feeling the pain of disruption and the urgency to relentlessly pursue mobile.

All companies with significant revenue (*greater than \$1M*) have embraced test automation as a key part of their testing and delivery process. 42% of all respondents claim to have mature automation capabilities; compared to 23% whose automation initiatives are nascent but delivering promising results. 14% hope to launch their automation efforts within the next six months, and only 8% have no plans for automation.

Companies of all sizes list the biggest struggle in automation as evaluating and selecting the right vendor, framework or technology. Interestingly, the second biggest pain point varies according to company size. Sub \$100M companies say their second-biggest pain is trying to decide what to automate (*the approach*), while \$100M+ companies point to acquiring/training skilled automation engineers as the second-highest pain. This contrast could be indicative of a greater trend where larger companies are not just looking for more people, but also want talent that can quickly integrate into their "coding" based approach to test automation; whereas many smaller companies are fine with utilizing scriptless automation technologies until their people develop coding capabilities.

All companies with revenues north of \$10M automate 25-50% of their test cases. The largest \$1B+ companies state that they'd like to achieve more than 75% automation, a goal far more lofty than their smaller counterparts. Ironically, these are the same companies that only release monthly or quarterly today.

Company Revenue: \$10-100 Million

#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 40.6% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.



#### **SUMMARY**

At 46.6%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.





#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



### TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

## New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

#### New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months: <sup>20,22</sup>Automation will not happen <sup>32,3</sup>Within 6 months

488.19

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

42.9% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

#### PAIN POINTS

#### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

#### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

#### Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.





#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

### Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?





#### **SUMMARY**

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 33.1% indicating its use. At 21.1% CircleCI is the second most frequently used.





#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

# There's plenty of room for automation. 48.9% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.





#### NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype



#### **SUMMARY**

Code complexity is the main issue encountered by most respondents in test automation implementation. The second largest gripe with implementing a test automation strategy is stability.





## COMPANY REVENUE \$101 MILLION - \$1 BILLION

#### **Company Revenue**

Responses reveal that company size, *as measured by annual revenue,* has a major impact on resource-driven initiatives such as QA headcount. However, some interesting differences emerge in other areas.

Companies under \$1B in revenue are far more agile in their app releases and are generally more inclined to release weekly, despite having smaller QA teams. Ironically, the \$1B+ organizations are overwhelmingly skewed toward monthly releases (43%) in lieu of weekly releases (10%) and are up to six times more likely to only support quarterly releases than their smaller revenue counterparts. This correlates with the majority of \$1B+ organizations defining themselves as Mobile Strategic (*app is nonessential to company survival*), in contrast to the majority of sub \$1B companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*). It is clear that Mobile Strategic organizations, most notably in legacy industries, are not yet feeling the pain of disruption and the urgency to relentlessly pursue mobile.

All companies with significant revenue (*greater than \$1M*) have embraced test automation as a key part of their testing and delivery process. 42% of all respondents claim to have mature automation capabilities; compared to 23% whose automation initiatives are nascent but delivering promising results. 14% hope to launch their automation efforts within the next six months, and only 8% have no plans for automation.

Companies of all sizes list the biggest struggle in automation as evaluating and selecting the right vendor, framework or technology. Interestingly, the second biggest pain point varies according to company size. Sub \$100M companies say their second-biggest pain is trying to decide what to automate (*the approach*), while \$100M+ companies point to acquiring/training skilled automation engineers as the second-highest pain. This contrast could be indicative of a greater trend where larger companies are not just looking for more people, but also want talent that can quickly integrate into their "coding" based approach to test automation; whereas many smaller companies are fine with utilizing scriptless automation technologies until their people develop coding capabilities.

All companies with revenues north of \$10M automate 25-50% of their test cases. The largest \$1B+ companies state that they'd like to achieve more than 75% automation, a goal far more lofty than their smaller counterparts. Ironically, these are the same companies that only release monthly or quarterly today.

#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



### **Mobile Strategies**



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 44% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.

## Industry/App Categories



#### SUMMARY

At 56%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.

### How many testers are on your team?



#### SUMMARY

Most of the companies surveyed have test teams of 11–25 people. Only 1.1% of respondents had 1 tester, while 16.5% had teams of more than 26 people.





### How often do you release app updates?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.



### TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

46.2% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 30 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

### PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

## What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.

### What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### **SUMMARY**







#### SUMMARY



#### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

### What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

### Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 34.1% indicating its use. At 20.9% CircleCI is the second most frequently used.

GitLab



## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring delivery speed.

#### If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 day - 2 Weeks.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 52.7% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



#### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 46.2% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 3-5 days with every release.



### **Company Size**



#### SUMMARY

57.1% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for had more than 500 employees.



### NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype

# What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

The need to continually fix test scripts with every release and stability are the biggest issues encountered in test automation implementations.





# **COMPANY REVENUE**

MORE THAN \$1 BILLION

#### **Company Revenue**

Responses reveal that company size, *as measured by annual revenue*, has a major impact on resource-driven initiatives such as QA headcount. However, some interesting differences emerge in other areas.

Companies under \$1B in revenue are far more agile in their app releases and are generally more inclined to release weekly, despite having smaller QA teams. Ironically, the \$1B+ organizations are overwhelmingly skewed toward monthly releases (43%) in lieu of weekly releases (10%) and are up to six times more likely to only support quarterly releases than their smaller revenue counterparts. This correlates with the majority of \$1B+ organizations defining themselves as Mobile Strategic (*app is nonessential to company survival*), in contrast to the majority of sub \$1B companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*). It is clear that Mobile Strategic organizations, most notably in legacy industries, are not yet feeling the pain of disruption and the urgency to relentlessly pursue mobile.

All companies with significant revenue (*greater than \$1M*) have embraced test automation as a key part of their testing and delivery process. 42% of all respondents claim to have mature automation capabilities; compared to 23% whose automation initiatives are nascent but delivering promising results. 14% hope to launch their automation efforts within the next six months, and only 8% have no plans for automation.

Companies of all sizes list the biggest struggle in automation as evaluating and selecting the right vendor, framework or technology. Interestingly, the second biggest pain point varies according to company size. Sub \$100M companies say their second-biggest pain is trying to decide what to automate (*the approach*), while \$100M+ companies point to acquiring/training skilled automation engineers as the second-highest pain. This contrast could be indicative of a greater trend where larger companies are not just looking for more people, but also want talent that can quickly integrate into their "coding" based approach to test automation; whereas many smaller companies are fine with utilizing scriptless automation technologies until their people develop coding capabilities.

All companies with revenues north of \$10M automate 25-50% of their test cases. The largest \$1B+ companies state that they'd like to achieve more than 75% automation, a goal far more lofty than their smaller counterparts. Ironically, these are the same companies that only release monthly or quarterly today.

#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

**Mobile Strategies** 

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model.



#### **SUMMARY**

At 38.5%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. This survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Entertainment vertical.





#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.

### **TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY**

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

## New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

#### New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we 420498 thope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen 32. Within 6 months

488.19

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

51.3% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 29% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

#### PAIN POINTS

#### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

#### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is tied for training/hiring, finding the right tools, and budget issues.

### Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that their preferred automation framework is not listed here.


#### What are you using test automation primarily for? **PRIMARY USES** Mostly Regression: Mostly 17.9% **Regression Only** regression testing but some new feature testing too 20.5% New Feature Only Mostly New: Mostly new feature Mostly Regression 15.4% testing but some regression testing too Mostly New 15.4% Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature Equal Mix 30.8% testing

#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.





#### SUMMARY

Jenkins was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 30.8% indicating its use. At 20.5% Gitlab is the second most frequently used.







#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### **SUMMARY**

There's plenty of room for automation. 30.8% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.





## **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype



#### **SUMMARY**

Stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents. The second largest gripe with implementing a test automation strategy is continually fixing test scripts with every release.





# **AUTOMATION BUDGET**

LESS THAN 10%

## **Automation Budget**

Of all the companies that identify as Mobile Critical (*where the app is essential to revenue*), **none** spend less than 10% of their QA budget on mobile test automation, whereas those who claim to be Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*) are more likely to invest below the 10% mark.

Improving app quality is the primary reason cited by all budget ranges for making the move to automation. Time-to-market becomes increasingly important for those with heavier investment, but still secondary to app quality.

In terms of app release frequency, ~68% of all respondents release on a monthly or weekly basis, with only 16% reaching the elite status of daily releases. However, this number changes dramatically for companies spending 50-75% of their budget on automation. Every company with this level of investment is able to release at least weekly, with 50% achieving daily releases. Those who need to release on a daily basis place more emphasis on test automation to accomplish that goal, and therefore spend a greater percentage of their overall QA budget on automation.

Across the board the primary obstacle causing organizations in every budget range to stumble is the difficulty in evaluating and selecting the right tools. More than 10% of all respondents say that vendors who overpromise and underdeliver is one of the biggest negative surprises of the software procurement process. **This should serve as a cautionary note for vendors:** prospects struggle with selecting tools, and when they do select them, they *certainly* don't want to be disappointed at the product not living up to its hype. Test Leads, Managers, and QA Directors should be vigilant and do thorough due diligence when engaging vendors on fairly rigorous proof-of-concepts.

When looking at success criteria for test automation, smaller budgets place more emphasis on the number of bugs found, whereas speed of delivery becomes proportionally more critical as the budget allocation increases. Additionally, there appears to be a linear relationship between the percentage of budget allocated to test automation and the number of test cases automated. Those spending 10% of their QA budget on automation have automated about 10% of test cases, and those spending 10–25% of their QA budget have automated roughly 10–25% of their test cases, etc.

572 10

488.19

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

**Mobile Strategies** 

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model.



## Industry/App Categories



### **SUMMARY**

The majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies.





#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to persue test automation.



## **TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY**

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

#### New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

## New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

## Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen Within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



### **SUMMARY**

31.8% of respondents indicated that test automation is not something their organization will pursue in the next 6 months.

1000111000

1<sup>0000011</sup>



192

## PAIN POINTS

### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### **SUMMARY**

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate a preference for Selenium over the listed automation frameworks, but overall the most frequently chosen answer is "other"





#### What are you using test automation primarily for? **PRIMARY USES** Mostly Regression: Mostly 27.3% **Regression Only** regression testing but some new feature testing too 31.8% New Feature Only Mostly New: Mostly new feature Mostly Regression 9.1% testing but some regression testing too Mostly New 9.1% Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature 22.7% Equal Mix testing

#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of new app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



## SUMMARY

Jenkins was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 45.5% indicating its use.







#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

# There's plenty of room for automation. 36.4% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.





## **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype What was the biggest negative surprise you

negative surprise you

code Complexity

Code Complexity

Repair/Release

36.4%

Stability

22.7%

#### **SUMMARY**

The largest negative surprise respondents encountered was the need to continually fix test scripts with every release.





## AUTOMATION BUDGET 10-29%

## **Automation Budget**

Of all the companies that identify as Mobile Critical (*where the app is* essential to revenue), **none** spend less than 10% of their QA budget on mobile test automation, whereas those who claim to be Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*) are more likely to invest below the 10% mark.

Improving app quality is the primary reason cited by all budget ranges for making the move to automation. Time-to-market becomes increasingly important for those with heavier investment, but still secondary to app quality.

In terms of app release frequency, ~68% of all respondents release on a monthly or weekly basis, with only 16% reaching the elite status of daily releases. However, this number changes dramatically for companies spending 50-75% of their budget on automation. Every company with this level of investment is able to release at least weekly, with 50% achieving daily releases. Those who need to release on a daily basis place more emphasis on test automation to accomplish that goal, and therefore spend a greater v of their overall QA budget on automation.

Across the board the primary obstacle causing organizations in every budget range to stumble is the difficulty in evaluating and selecting the right tools. More than 10% of all respondents say that vendors who overpromise and underdeliver is one of the biggest negative surprises of the software procurement process. **This should serve as a cautionary note for vendors:** prospects struggle with selecting tools, and when they do select them, they *certainly* don't want to be disappointed at the product not living up to its hype. Test Leads, Managers, and QA Directors should be vigilant and do thorough due diligence when engaging vendors on fairly rigorous proof-of-concepts.

When looking at success criteria for test automation, smaller budgets place more emphasis on the number of bugs found, whereas speed of delivery becomes proportionally more critical as the budget allocation increases. Additionally, there appears to be a linear relationship between the percentage of budget allocated to test automation and the number of test cases automated. Those spending 10% of their QA budget on automation have automated about 10% of test cases, and those spending 10-25% of their QA budget have automated roughly 10-25% of their test cases, etc.

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



## **Mobile Strategies**



### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model.

## Industry/App Categories



### SUMMARY

The majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies.

## How many testers are on your team?



#### SUMMARY

Most of the companies surveyed have test teams of 2–25 people. Only 3.3% of respondents had 1 tester, while 18.9% had teams of more than 26 people.

## Annual Company Revenue





### SUMMARY

37.7% of companies surveyed had an annual revenue of \$10-100M.



## How often do you release app updates?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.

## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

37.7% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.



## PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

## What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is a tie between finding the right tools and setting an approach.

## Test automation framework usage





### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.

## What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

95.9% of respondents indicated that they are performing some test automation. 43.4% of respondents specified that 10-24% of their test cases are automated.

## What percentage of test cases would you like to have automated?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with a noteworthy 16.4% seeking to automate more than 75% of their test cases. Comparing this graph with the one above shows a clear trend toward desired increases in test automation.



### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

## What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix of new and existing app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 31.1% indicating its use. At 21.3% Jenkins is the second most frequently used tool.

GitLab



## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring the number of bugs found.

## If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 40.2% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 32.8% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 3-5 days with every release.

## Company Size



### SUMMARY

43.4% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for had more than 500 employees.



## NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype

## What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

The largest gripe with implementing a test automation strategy is code complexity for test scripts.





# **AUTOMATION BUDGET**

30-49%

## **Automation Budget**

Of all the companies that identify as Mobile Critical (*where the app is essential to revenue*), **none** spend less than 10% of their QA budget on mobile test automation, whereas those who claim to be Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*) are more likely to invest below the 10% mark.

Improving app quality is the primary reason cited by all budget ranges for making the move to automation. Time-to-market becomes increasingly important for those with heavier investment, but still secondary to app quality.

In terms of app release frequency, ~68% of all respondents release on a monthly or weekly basis, with only 16% reaching the elite status of daily releases. However, this number changes dramatically for companies spending 50-75% of their budget on automation. Every company with this level of investment is able to release at least weekly, with 50% achieving daily releases. Those who need to release on a daily basis place more emphasis on test automation to accomplish that goal, and therefore spend a greater percentage of their overall QA budget on automation.

Across the board the primary obstacle causing organizations in every budget range to stumble is the difficulty in evaluating and selecting the right tools. More than 10% of all respondents say that vendors who overpromise and underdeliver is one of the biggest negative surprises of the software procurement process. **This should serve as a cautionary note for vendors:** prospects struggle with selecting tools, and when they do select them, they *certainly* don't want to be disappointed at the product not living up to its hype. Test Leads, Managers, and QA Directors should be vigilant and do thorough due diligence when engaging vendors on fairly rigorous proof-of-concepts.

When looking at success criteria for test automation, smaller budgets place more emphasis on the number of bugs found, whereas speed of delivery becomes proportionally more critical as the budget allocation increases. Additionally, there appears to be a linear relationship between the percentage of budget allocated to test automation and the number of test cases automated. Those spending 10% of their QA budget on automation have automated about 10% of test cases, and those spending 10–25% of their QA budget have automated roughly 10–25% of their test cases, etc.

520 10

488.19
#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

#### **Mobile Strategies**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model.



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies.

6.1% 6.9%

17.4%

7.6%

2.3%

9.2% 1.5%

46.6%

2.3%





#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.



#### **TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY**

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

#### New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

#### New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen Within 6 months

#### Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### **SUMMARY**

48.1% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

1000111000

1000000111



#### PAIN POINTS

#### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

#### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### **SUMMARY**

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

#### Test automation framework usage





#### **SUMMARY**

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.







#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix of new and existing app features.

#### Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?





#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 28.8% indicating its use.





#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

### Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

## There's plenty of room for automation. 56.5% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.





#### **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?

Code Complexity

Code Complexity

Repair/Release

22.9%

Repair/Devices

19.8%

Stability

26.0%

Hype Vs. Reality

#### **SUMMARY**

Stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents.



## AUTOMATION BUDGET 50-75%

#### **Automation Budget**

Of all the companies that identify as Mobile Critical (*where the app is* essential to revenue), **none** spend less than 10% of their QA budget on mobile test automation, whereas those who claim to be Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*) are more likely to invest below the 10% mark.

Improving app quality is the primary reason cited by all budget ranges for making the move to automation. Time-to-market becomes increasingly important for those with heavier investment, but still secondary to app quality.

In terms of app release frequency, ~68% of all respondents release on a monthly or weekly basis, with only 16% reaching the elite status of daily releases. However, this number changes dramatically for companies spending 50-75% of their budget on automation. Every company with this level of investment is able to release at least weekly, with 50% achieving daily releases. Those who need to release on a daily basis place more emphasis on test automation to accomplish that goal, and therefore spend a greater percentage of their overall QA budget on automation.

Across the board the primary obstacle causing organizations in every budget range to stumble is the difficulty in evaluating and selecting the right tools. More than 10% of all respondents say that vendors who overpromise and underdeliver is one of the biggest negative surprises of the software procurement process. **This should serve as a cautionary note for vendors:** prospects struggle with selecting tools, and when they do select them, they *certainly* don't want to be disappointed at the product not living up to its hype. Test Leads, Managers, and QA Directors should be vigilant and do thorough due diligence when engaging vendors on fairly rigorous proof-of-concepts.

When looking at success criteria for test automation, smaller budgets place more emphasis on the number of bugs found, whereas speed of delivery becomes proportionally more critical as the budget allocation increases. Additionally, there appears to be a linear relationship between the percentage of budget allocated to test automation and the number of test cases automated. Those spending 10% of their QA budget on automation have automated about 10% of test cases, and those spending 10-25% of their QA budget have automated roughly 10-25% of their test cases, etc.

#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **Mobile Strategies**



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model.

### Industry/App Categories



#### SUMMARY

At 60.9%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies.

#### How many testers are on your team?



#### SUMMARY

Most of the companies surveyed have test teams of 2-25 people.

#### Annual Company Revenue





#### SUMMARY

45.3% of companies surveyed had an annual revenue of \$10-100M. Just 1.6% of respondents indicated an annual revenue of less than \$1M.



#### How often do you release app updates?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a weekly or monthly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.

#### TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

50.0% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.



#### PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

## What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

#### Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.

#### What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

98.4% of respondents indicated that they are performing some test automation. 46.9% of respondents specified that 50-75% of their test cases are automated.







Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with a noteworthy 23.4% seeking to automate more than 75% of their test cases. Comparing this graph with the one above shows a clear trend toward desired increases in test automation.



#### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

#### What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix of new and existing app features.

#### Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 26.6% indicating its use.

GitLab



## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring delivery speed.

#### If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 9-24 hour span.

### Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 40.6% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



#### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 48.4% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 3-5 days with every release.



#### Company Size



#### SUMMARY

46.9% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for had more than 500 employees.

#### NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype

# What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

The largest gripe with implementing a test automation strategy is he need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices.





# **AUTOMATION BUDGET**

MORE THAN 75%

#### **Automation Budget**

Of all the companies that identify as Mobile Critical (*where the app is essential to revenue*), **none** spend less than 10% of their QA budget on mobile test automation, whereas those who claim to be Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*) are more likely to invest below the 10% mark.

Improving app quality is the primary reason cited by all budget ranges for making the move to automation. Time-to-market becomes increasingly important for those with heavier investment, but still secondary to app quality.

In terms of app release frequency, ~68% of all respondents release on a monthly or weekly basis, with only 16% reaching the elite status of daily releases. However, this number changes dramatically for companies spending 50-75% of their budget on automation. Every company with this level of investment is able to release at least weekly, with 50% achieving daily releases. Those who need to release on a daily basis place more emphasis on test automation to accomplish that goal, and therefore spend a greater percentage of their overall QA budget on automation.

Across the board the primary obstacle causing organizations in every budget range to stumble is the difficulty in evaluating and selecting the right tools. More than 10% of all respondents say that vendors who overpromise and underdeliver is one of the biggest negative surprises of the software procurement process. **This should serve as a cautionary note for vendors:** prospects struggle with selecting tools, and when they do select them, they *certainly* don't want to be disappointed at the product not living up to its hype. Test Leads, Managers, and QA Directors should be vigilant and do thorough due diligence when engaging vendors on fairly rigorous proof-of-concepts.

When looking at success criteria for test automation, smaller budgets place more emphasis on the number of bugs found, whereas speed of delivery becomes proportionally more critical as the budget allocation increases. Additionally, there appears to be a linear relationship between the percentage of budget allocated to test automation and the number of test cases automated. Those spending 10% of their QA budget on automation have automated about 10% of test cases, and those spending 10–25% of their QA budget have automated roughly 10–25% of their test cases, etc.

570 10

488.19

#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

**Mobile Strategies** 

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile is an initiative they're looking to pursue.



#### Entertainment Finance Business/ Productivity Retail 8.3% Travel & Hospitality Telecom 8.3% 8.3% Insurance Software 75.0% Other

#### **SUMMARY**

At 75%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies.





#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for semi-frequent updates, with most responses indicating app update releases on a daily or weekly schedule.

## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.

#### **TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY**

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

#### New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

#### New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen Within 6 months

#### Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### **SUMMARY**

41.7% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

1000111000

1000000111



#### **PAIN POINTS**

#### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

#### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### **SUMMARY**

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

#### Test automation framework usage



Responses indicate that Espresso is the preferred automation framework.







Other

## CircleCI was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 58.3% indicating its use.

17:00




#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### **SUMMARY**

There's plenty of room for automation. 58.3% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 250-1000 manual test cases with each app release.





## **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype What was the biggest negative surprise you necountered as part of your test automation

Code Complexity

Repair/Release

Stability

Stability

16.7%

#### **SUMMARY**

Stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents.





## RELEASE FREQUENCY DAILY RELEASE SCHEDULE

## **Release Frequency**

In predictable fashion, release frequency is a direct measure of the importance of a mobile app to an organization. Companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*) overwhelmingly release on a weekly or daily basis. Companies that classify as Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*), are more likely to release on a monthly or quarterly basis.

Release frequency also correlates to the overall percentage of QA budget spent on test automation. Those with a demand for daily or weekly releases make automation a critical part of their process. 72% of respondents who release on a daily basis spend between 30–75% of their overall QA budget on automation, while 80.7% who release on a monthly basis spend less than 49% of their budget on automation.

A hidden irony manifests itself when teams who release on a quarterly basis are asked what percentage of test cases they would like to have automated. Ironically, they have the highest ambitions, with 43.5% stating that they'd like to automate 50-75% of their test cases. This is likely due to the fact that companies in this group are still working in the theoretical realm of test automation, and have not yet implemented enough scale to understand its inherent complexities.





258

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an arrest initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 37.9% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.



#### **SUMMARY**

At 58.6%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.





## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.

## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

## New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

## New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we 420498 the hope to start within the next 6 months

## Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen 32. Within 6 months

488.19

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



### SUMMARY

43.1% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 30 and 75% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is training/hiring.

## Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Robot Framework is the preferred automation framework.







#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



### SUMMARY

CircleCl was listed as the preferred Cl/CD tool amongst respondents with 24.1% indicating its use. At 22.4% Bamboo is the second most frequently used.







#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### **SUMMARY**

There's plenty of room for automation. 37.9% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.





## **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype



#### **SUMMARY**

Stability and expectation issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents. The second largest gripe with implementing a test automation strategy is code complexity for test scripts.





## RELEASE FREQUENCY WEEKLY RELEASE SCHEDULE

## **Release Frequency**

In predictable fashion, release frequency is a direct measure of the importance of a mobile app to an organization. Companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*) overwhelmingly release on a weekly or daily basis. Companies that classify as Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*), are more likely to release on a monthly or quarterly basis.

Release frequency also correlates to the overall percentage of QA budget spent on test automation. Those with a demand for daily or weekly releases make automation a critical part of their process. 72% of respondents who release on a daily basis spend between 30-75% of their overall QA budget on automation, while 80.7% who release on a monthly basis spend less than 49% of their budget on automation.

A hidden irony manifests itself when teams who release on a quarterly basis are asked what percentage of test cases they would like to have automated. Ironically, they have the highest ambitions, with 43.5% stating that they'd like to automate 50-75% of their test cases. This is likely due to the fact that companies in this group are still working in the theoretical realm of test automation, and have not yet implemented enough scale to understand its inherent complexities.

### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



## **Mobile Strategies**



### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 45.9% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.

## Industry/App Categories



#### SUMMARY

At 53.3%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.





#### SUMMARY

Most of the companies surveyed have test teams of 2-25 people. Only 1.6% of respondents had 1 tester, while 11.5% had teams of more than 26 people.

## Annual Company Revenue





### SUMMARY

41% of companies surveyed had an annual revenue of \$10-100M. Just 3.3% of respondents indicated an annual revenue of less than \$1M.



## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?





### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.

## TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

45.9% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 30 and 49% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

## PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

## What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

## Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.

## What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

98.4% of respondents indicated that they are performing some test automation. 34.4% of respondents specified that 25-49% of their test cases are automated.







Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with a noteworthy 33.6% seeking to automate 25-49% of their test cases. Comparing this graph with the one above shows a clear trend toward desired increases in test automation.



### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

## What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

## Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 39.3% indicating its use. At 22.1% CircleCl is the second most frequently used.

GitLab



## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated an equal preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring delivery speed and number of bugs found.

## If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 44.3% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 45.1% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 3-5 days with every release.

## Company Size



### SUMMARY

43.4% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for has 101-500 employees.



## NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype

# What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Code complexity and stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents. The second largest gripe with implementing a test automation strategy is needing to fix test scripts with every release.





## RELEASE FREQUENCY MONTHLY RELEASE SCHEDULE

### **Release Frequency**

In predictable fashion, release frequency is a direct measure of the importance of a mobile app to an organization. Companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*) overwhelmingly release on a weekly or daily basis. Companies that classify as Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*), are more likely to release on a monthly or quarterly basis.

Release frequency also correlates to the overall percentage of QA budget spent on test automation. Those with a demand for daily or weekly releases make automation a critical part of their process. 72% of respondents who release on a daily basis spend between 30–75% of their overall QA budget on automation, while 80.7% who release on a monthly basis spend less than 49% of their budget on automation.

A hidden irony manifests itself when teams who release on a quarterly basis are asked what percentage of test cases they would like to have automated. Ironically, they have the highest ambitions, with 43.5% stating that they'd like to automate 50-75% of their test cases. This is likely due to the fact that companies in this group are still working in the theoretical realm of test automation, and have not yet implemented enough scale to understand its inherent complexities.



Release Frequency: Monthly Release Schedule

#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **SUMMARY**

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 32.8% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.



#### **SUMMARY**

At 47.1%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.




## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.

### TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

## New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are

relatively new and promising

#### New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we 420498 a hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months: Automation will not happen within 6 months

488.19

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

39.5% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key part of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 29% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

#### PAIN POINTS

#### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

#### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### **SUMMARY**

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

#### Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.





#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

### Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?





#### SUMMARY

Gitlab was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 27.7% indicating its use. At 24.4% Bamboo is the second most frequently used.







#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 51.3% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100-249 manual test cases with each app release.





#### **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype



#### **SUMMARY**

Stability issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents. The second largest gripe with implementing a test automation strategy is the need to continually fix test scripts with every release.





## **RELEASE FREQUENCY** QUARTERLY RELEASE SCHEDULE

#### **Release Frequency**

In predictable fashion, release frequency is a direct measure of the importance of a mobile app to an organization. Companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*) overwhelmingly release on a weekly or daily basis. Companies that classify as Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*), are more likely to release on a monthly or quarterly basis.

Release frequency also correlates to the overall percentage of QA budget spent on test automation. Those with a demand for daily or weekly releases make automation a critical part of their process. 72% of respondents who release on a daily basis spend between 30-75% of their overall QA budget on automation, while 80.7% who release on a monthly basis spend less than 49% of their budget on automation.

A hidden irony manifests itself when teams who release on a quarterly basis are asked what percentage of test cases they would like to have automated. Ironically, they have the highest ambitions, with 43.5% stating that they'd like to automate 50-75% of their test cases. This is likely due to the fact that companies in this group are still working in the theoretical realm of test automation, and have not yet implemented enough scale to understand its inherent complexities.

#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### **Mobile Strategies**



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 30.4% of respondents said that their business was centered around a mobile app.

### Industry/App Categories



#### SUMMARY

At 39.1%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.





#### SUMMARY

Most of the companies surveyed have test teams of 11–25 people. Only 2.2% of respondents had 1 tester, while 32.6% had teams of more than 26 people.

#### Annual Company Revenue





#### SUMMARY

30.4% of companies surveyed had an annual revenue of \$10-100M.



## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?





#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that a desire to improve overall app quality was the primary strategic driver in choosing to pursue test automation.

### TEST AUTOMATION MATURITY

Key Part of QA: Automation is a key part of our quality assurance process delivering tangible results

New and Promising: Our automation initiatives are relatively new and promising

New and Unfavorable: Our

automation initiatives are relatively new and the results are not what we hoped for

#### Upcoming <6 months:

Automation is an initiative we hope to start within the next 6 months

#### Not for at least 6 months:

Automation will not happen within 6 months

## Where would you rate your current test automation maturity?



#### SUMMARY

45.7% of respondents indicated that test automation was a key oart of their quality assurance process.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and other resources?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 29% of their overall QA budget to test automation related expenditures.

### PAIN POINTS

Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation engineers (scripting expertise)

Finding the right tools: Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation

## What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or starting test automation today?



#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier to entry for test automation is finding the right tools.

### Test automation framework usage





#### SUMMARY

Responses indicate that Selenium is the preferred automation framework.

### What percentage of your test cases are automated?



#### SUMMARY

93.5% of respondents indicated that they are performing some test automation. 26.1% of respondents specified that 25-49% of their test cases are automated.

## What percentage of test cases would you like to have automated?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents clearly see the value of test automation, with a noteworthy 43.5% seeking to automate 50-75% of their test cases. Comparing this graph with the one above shows a clear trend toward desired increases in test automation.



#### **PRIMARY USES**

Mostly Regression: Mostly regression testing but some new feature testing too

Mostly New: Mostly new feature testing but some regression testing too

Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature testing

### What are you using test automation primarily for?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of an equal mix in new and existing app features.

### Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



#### SUMMARY

Jenkins was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 30.4% indicating its use. At 23.9% Gitlab is the second most frequently used.





## Which of the following metrics best indicates successful test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Respondents indicated a preference for quantifying test automation success by measuring numbers of bugs found.

#### If you have automation testing in place, how long does it take to update automation scripts for a new app release?



#### SUMMARY

Most respondents indicated that they are able to update automation scripts within 1 Day - 1 Week.

## On average, how long does it take to code a test case using the framework of your choice?



#### SUMMARY

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded within a 5-8 hour span.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

There's plenty of room for automation. 41.3% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 100–249 manual test cases with each app release.



## Approximately how long does it take to manually test your app before every release?



#### SUMMARY

Manual testing is time consuming. 30.4% of respondents indicated an average manual testing time period of 6-10 days with every release.



### Company Size



#### SUMMARY

54.3% of survey respondents indicated that the company they worked for had more than 500 employees.

#### NEGATIVE SURPRISES

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype

# What was the biggest negative surprise you encountered as part of your test automation implementation?



#### SUMMARY

Code complexity issues are rampant in the test automation implementations used by most respondents. The second largest gripe with implementing a test automation strategy is the need to fix test scripts to work across more devices.





## RELEASE FREQUENCY LESS THAN QUARTERLY RELEASE SCHEDULE

#### **Release Frequency**

In predictable fashion, release frequency is a direct measure of the importance of a mobile app to an organization. Companies that self-identify as Mobile Critical (*app is essential to revenue*) overwhelmingly release on a weekly or daily basis. Companies that classify as Mobile Strategic (*app is non-essential to company survival*), are more likely to release on a monthly or quarterly basis.

Release frequency also correlates to the overall percentage of QA budget spent on test automation. Those with a demand for daily or weekly releases make automation a critical part of their process. 72% of respondents who release on a daily basis spend between 30–75% of their overall QA budget on automation, while 80.7% who release on a monthly basis spend less than 49% of their budget on automation.

A hidden irony manifests itself when teams who release on a quarterly basis are asked what percentage of test cases they would like to have automated. Ironically, they have the highest ambitions, with 43.5% stating that they'd like to automate 50-75% of their test cases. This is likely due to the fact that companies in this group are still working in the theoretical realm of test automation, and have not yet implemented enough scale to understand its inherent complexities.





314

#### **MOBILE STRATEGIES**

Mobile Critical: Our business model is centered around a mobile app

Mobile Strategic: Mobile is an important channel for our company, but we would survive without it

Looking Into It: Mobile is an amount initiative we're looking to pursue

No Plans: We don't have a mobile app or any plans to implement one



#### SUMMARY

The majority of respondents indicated that mobile was an important component in their business model. 50% of respondents said that their business has a mobile app implemented.



#### **SUMMARY**

At 50%, the majority of responses collected in this survey were from software companies. The survey received the fewest responses from companies in the Travel and Hospitality vertical.



\_\_\_\_\_



## What is the primary strategic driver for your organization pursuing a test automation strategy?



The majority of respondents indicated that there is an equal desire to improve overall app quality, reduce costs, but also have no automation strategy.



## Where would you rate your current test



Respondents indicated low test automation maturity.

Based on the overall quality assurance budget in your organization, what percentage is spent on test automation when factoring in employees, tools, and



Most respondents indicated that their companies allocate between 10 and 29% of their overall QA budget to test automation

#### PAIN POINTS

#### Training/Hiring: Training/ acquiring skilled automation

engineers (scripting expertise)

#### Finding the right tools:

Evaluating and choosing the right tools

Setting an Approach: Deciding what to automate

Reporting: Test reporting and metrics

Budget: Convincing the organization of the need to invest in automation



What is your biggest struggle/pain point with using or

#### SUMMARY

The survey respondents indicated that the largest barrier for test automation is setting the right approach, reporting/metrics, and budget issues.

### Test automation framework usage



#### SUMMARY

Responses indicated no clear preference in test automation framework usage.





#### What are you using test automation primarily for? **PRIMARY USES** Mostly Regression: Mostly 83.3% **Regression Only** regression testing but some new feature testing too New Feature Only Mostly New: Mostly new feature Mostly Regression testing but some regression testing too Mostly New 16.7% Equal Mix: Equal mix of regression and new feature Equal Mix testing

#### SUMMARY

Most respondents are using test automation to verify the functionality of regression only in app features.

### Which CI/CD tools does your organization use?



Jenkins was listed as the preferred CI/CD tool amongst respondents with 50% indicating its use.





#### **SUMMARY**

Survey respondents indicated that their test cases are typically coded in 25-40 hours.

## Approximately how many manual test cases are you executing with each app release?



#### SUMMARY

# There's plenty of room for automation. 66.6% of respondents indicated that test teams perform 10-249 manual test cases with each app release.





#### **NEGATIVE SURPRISES**

Code Complexity: The coding complexity of developing test scripts

Repair/Release: The need to continually fix test scripts with every release

Repair/Devices: The need to continually fix test scripts to work across more devices

Stability: Having a stable test environment with predictable data

Hype vs. Reality: Vendor products not living up to their claims or hype



#### **SUMMARY**

Fixing test scripts with every release is the main issue in the test automation implementations used by most respondents.



